

| Date       | Name                                      | Consultation Input   | Summary   |
|------------|---|--|---|
| 5.4.11     | EP Parish Council meeting direct feedback | Request for a dedicated berthing area on Whitestrand for visiting rowing boats   | Dedicated berthing arrangements at Whitestrand for rowing boats.                                |
| 5.4.11     | EP Parish Council direct feedback.        | Request for a landing pontoon at Ditch End   | Landing pontoon at Ditch End  |
| 6.4.11     | Dartmouth Steam Riverboat Co              | Thanks very much for the copy of the Kingsbridge berthing Plan (and also the post dredging survey). I have consulted with our Operations Manager and the Skipper of the River Maid - and all agree that the new pontoon landing at the bottom of the car park, as shown on the plan, is an excellent solution. The River Maid will continue to be chartered by us from Mr Peter Moule for the 2011 season. I am not aware of any views that he, as the owner of the River Maid business, may have regarding this proposal. | Supportive of development of KB Basin Pontoons and moving Ferry landing to adjacent to slipway. |
| 13.4.11    | Member of the Public                      | Re the recent request for views on possible improvements – I have a mooring at Newbridge and would love to see some kind of pontoon along the quay there. I frequently collect passengers from the quay and at many states of the tide it can be difficult, especially for less mobile people, to get on/off my boat.  | Landing Pontoon at Newbridge  |
| 26/04/2011 | Member of the Public                      | Two comments for you that you may wish to consider in order to improve Salcombe Harbour: 1) Jet Skis - these are noisy and dangerous and should not be allowed to drive in the harbour. Suggest you have a bye-law that says they have to be towed out to sea by a proper boat. 2) Remove the ghastly house boats that are moored in the Bag. These boats look more like large caravans. They ruin the aesthetics of the harbour.  | Ban Jet Skis and House Boats!!  |

|            |                                     |   |  |
|------------|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| 03/05/2011 | East Portlemouth Parish Council     | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. East Portlemouth Parish Council would welcome a pontoon on East Portlemouth side for dinghies. Ditch End would be a suitable site because so many boats are kept nearby and it is the site used for the ferry in bad weather.</li> <li>2. PC urged the Harbour Board to involve the Foreshore Owners in their deliberations.</li> <li>3. PC welcomed the Harbour Master's very positive attitude expressed at the AGM, despite the tight budget situation.</li> <li>4. The Parish Council supported the Harbour Master's idea to build permanent shower facilities for visiting boats to enhance the estuary's value as a tourism destination.</li> <li>5. The Parish Council consider that the importance of commercial users, particularly the fishing industry, should be taken into account in any five year plan.</li> </ol> | Various                                      |
| 03/05/2011 | Frogmore & Sherford Parisyh Council | The Council would support an extension to the current Pontoon in Frogmore and would welcome the opportunity to comment on the detail of any proposal in that regard, or other changes within the Creek. To avoid any further confusion, you may care to also include Frogmore Village Community Association in the consultation process for your 5-year plan'   | Extension to Frogmore Public Landing Pontoon |

|            |  |   |  |
|------------|--|---|--|
| 04-May-11  | KEBC   | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Provision of pontoon berths to west side of the Kingsbridge basin to facilitate ease of access and security: sympathetic to Kingsbridge as a working town. The Club would wish to be fully involved in the consultation stage.</li> <li>2. Increase gradient and improve slipway at Kingsbridge Basin to facilitate ease of launch and recovery.</li> <li>3. Extend the visitors pontoon at Kingsbridge and improve facilities to include electricity and water.</li> <li>4. Recognise the usefulness and historic importance of Steamer Pier (off High House) as a low water embarkation/disembarkation point and to improve, tidy and maintain the facility accordingly.</li> <li>5. Provide a short pontoon at Newbridge for ease of access of pleasure craft not for permanent moorings.</li> <li>6. Reinstate the steps at Newbridge Jetty in place of existing concrete slope</li> <li>7. Extend the use of the water supply at Newbridge to all year round</li> <li>8. Extend opening time for toilet facilities at Newbridge</li> <li>9. Adding a T piece to the pontoon at Frogmore which would greatly increase the use by visitors.</li> </ol> | <p>KEBC proposals fall into 2 categories, SHA and SHDC.</p> <p>SHA:<br/>Pontoon berths in KB Basin<br/>Extend VP in KB Basin.<br/>Steamer Quay at High House.<br/>Pontoon at Newbridge.<br/>Extend Frogmore Pontoon.</p> <p>SHDC:<br/>Kingsbridge Slipway<br/>Steps at Newbridge<br/>Newbridge Water Supply<br/>Newbridge Toilets.</p> |
| 05/05/2011 | Kingsbridge and Salcombe Chamber of Commerce | <p>"It is hoped that the 5 year Business Plan will give proper consideration to the needs of commercial operators wishing to develop the opportunities which the estuary offers in a sensitive and environmentally conscious manner so that their operations are not subject to onerous restrictions or practices which inhibit the continuation and growth of this important sector. By engaging with the various operators and encouraging their involvement, the natural assets of and around the estuary can be both harnessed for economic benefit and preserved/protected."</p>   |  |

|           |                           |  |  |
|-----------|---------------------------|--|--|
| 06-May-11 | Kingsbridge Town Council  | <p>You will recall that we wrote to you earlier in the year to give our support for any alterations to the west wall at the head of the estuary which would realise improvements regarding Health &amp; Safety, enhanced access (particularly at the rise and fall of tides) and general aesthetic features.</p> <p>Having now had the opportunity to discuss the topic further the following comments are now made:</p> <p>improvements to moorings and visitors pontoons would be of real benefit, ladders at the harbour wall are too steep which make it difficult to access boats, the walls are in an unstable condition, security is unsatisfactory, and more should be done to encourage greater use of visitor pontoons e.g. extend the length, provision electrics, running water and, in the long term, the installation of showers.</p> <p>It was noted that the Harbour Board had been helpful over the last few years and Kingsbridge Estuary Boat Club, with its large membership, had been supportive of improvements.</p> <p>The crux however, is that the 'look' of the head of the estuary was very important and any improvements should be in keeping with the Kingsbridge landscape.</p> | <p>Improvements to mooring facilities, including easier access.</p> <p>The quay walls are unstable.</p> <p>Security unsatisfactory.</p> <p>Encourage visitors, provide better facilities and utilities including showers.</p>                                |
| 06-May-11 | South Pool Parish Council | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Navigation Marks in South Pool Creek - The Parish Council were opposed to establishing any Navigation Marks but caveated their statement by stating that there was still interest in installing a few discreet port and starboard marker buoys and that the proposal should be revisited.</li> <li>2. Dredging - A proposal to dredge the channel to the South Pool Pontoon</li> <li>3. Moorings and Pontoon Berths - Allocation of moorings on the Southpool Pontoon should be to residents of Southpool Parish.</li> <li>4. Speed Limits - Supportive of speed limit</li> <li>5. Policing - Unaware of successful Prosecutions, More emphasis.</li> <li>6. South Pool Slipway - Need repairing</li> <li>7. Planning - Harbour Board should liaise with SHDC to consider planning proposals if it considers plans will have a detrimental effect on the landscape and the value of the Estuary.</li> </ol>  | <p>Navigation marks in South Pool Creek.</p> <p>Dredging South Pool Creek.</p> <p>South Pool Pontoon.</p> <p>Supportive of Speed Limit</p> <p>More emphasis on speeding patrols and prosecutions.</p> <p>Slipway needs repairing</p> <p>Planning Issues.</p> |

|           |                       |   |  |
|-----------|-----------------------|---|--|
| 06-May-11 | Salcombe Yacht Club   | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Dinghy Parking - Additional reasonably priced dinghy parking</li> <li>2. General - No increase in number of moorings and a Supermarket to allow shopping at a reasonable price</li> <li>3. Dinghy Racing Issues - Boats blocking access to smalls beach. No increase in present Fairway restrictions. No increase in trot moorings, Any further pontoons should have breaks.</li> <li>4. Batson - An additional slipway, wider deeper channel, Children playing on slipway.</li> <li>5. Visitor Showers - Harbour should support SYC facilities financially.</li> <li>6. Harbour Taxi - More cover at weekends in shoulder months, boats should be covered.</li> <li>7. South of Jubilee Pier - Opposed to any additional jetties south of Jubilee Pier.</li> <li>8. East Portlemouth - Pontoon at Ditch End</li> <li>9. Communications VHF communications black spots.</li> <li>10. Approaches to salcombe - More speed limit marks which can be used as race marks.</li> <li>11. Air Pollution - Ban boats with outboards, people could sail, row or take ferries.</li> </ol> | <p>Dinghy Parking<br/>No more Moorings<br/>Access to a supermarket<br/>No anchoring at Smalls.<br/>Fairway.<br/>Slipway.<br/>Visitor Showers.<br/>Yacht Taxi.<br/>Pontoons south of Jubilee Pier.<br/>East Portlemouth<br/>Communications<br/>More speed limit marks<br/>Ban Outboards</p> |
| 06-May-11 | Salcombe Town Council | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. It was suggested the Harbour Board implement both a project and deadline management policy with procedures. For example, the slip hoist was a late application and District circumvented EU Regulations and did not go out to bid due to 'lack of time'. With regard to Whitestrand Porta Cabin suggestion Salcombe Town Council were informed one week prior to the Harbour Board requiring the facility.</li> <li>2. A separate detailed business case per investment should be made.</li> <li>3. The Salcombe Town Council finds the co-ordination with District funded research to be non existent. Their view is that any Harbour strategy must be done in conjunction with a published and agreed report, such as the Hyder.</li> <li>4. All of the Harbour Projects so far appear to lack supporting customer evidence as to their absolute need.</li> </ol>   | <p>No constructive points</p>  |

|           |   |  |   |
|-----------|---|--|---|
| 08-May-11 | The Moorings Residents' Company Limited | <p>On behalf of the members with moorings of The Moorings, Kingsbridge, I wish to request you consider ways of improving our access to the water. Although we contribute significant sums in harbour charges, we have limited water access with only up to one hour either side of high water at neap tides.</p> <p>Possibilities of increasing our access include: (1) dredging off our beach, (2) further lengthening our running moorings and (3) installing a floating pontoon. These solutions are not new, but I mention them again in the hope that they might be considered both in the short term and in your longer range review of harbour facilities and services.</p> <p>With many thanks for the recent and planned improvements to Salcombe Harbour and Kingsbridge estuary</p> | <p>Capital Dredging.<br/>Further extend running moorings.<br/>Installation of a pontoon for residents of the appartments boats.</p> |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |
|           |   |  |   |